

## State Investment Board Budget & Finance Committee

Thursday 13, 2026, 8:00 a.m. – **Virtual Only**

The open portions of this meeting are being livestreamed. [Join the meeting now](#)

### AGENDA

- I. **CALL TO ORDER AND ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA – (*Committee Action*)**
  - A. Roll Call & Conflict of Interest Disclosure
- II. **BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CHARTER REVIEW (5 minutes) – (*Information Only*) – Mr. Otteson**
- III. **QUARTERLY BUDGET STATUS UPDATE (10 minutes) – (*Information Only*) – Mr. Otteson**
- IV. **2027-2029 PROPOSED BUDGET (45 minutes) – (*Committee Action*) – Mr. Otteson**
- V. **DISCUSSION**
- VI. **ADJOURNMENT**

## MEMORANDUM

**TO: BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**FROM: Adam Otteson, Chief Financial & Operating Officer**

**DATE: August 13, 2026**

**RE: Budget & Finance Committee Charter Review**

### Purpose

The Budget & Finance Committee (BFC) is a standing Committee of the SIB. It assists the SIB in fulfilling its fiduciary responsibilities for financial stewardship, resource alignment, and long-term organizational sustainability. The BFC's role is oversight and assurance, not execution.

The BFC assures that the SIB's budget, financial plans, and resource decisions are aligned with Board-approved priorities, statutory constraints, and the long-term ability of the organization to carry out its investment and fiduciary mandate.

The BFC is forward-looking, not transactional. Its success is measured by: fewer budget surprises; clear linkage between strategy and resources; stronger long-term organizational capacity; and providing the Board with a disciplined forum to address resource trade-offs explicitly, rather than implicitly through risk or performance outcomes.

The BFC does not evaluate internal controls, accounting judgments, or audit findings, which remain within the purview of the ARCC. The BFC may rely on ARCC assurance in assessing financial sustainability.

The BFC shall consider the budget and financial plans over a multi-year horizon, including the forward implications of staffing, compensation, technology, and vendor commitments.

The BFC shall coordinate with the ERCC to assure compensation structures and staffing plans are financially sustainable and aligned with approved resource levels.

## **Recommended Capabilities**

Collectively, BFC members should have the following capabilities, and where gaps exist, a targeted continuing education plan shall be established to address them:

- Financial Reporting & Fund Accounting
- Multi-Year Financial Planning & Sustainability
- Financial Controls, Procurement & Contracts
- Resource Alignment to Strategic Priorities

**Staff Liaison:** The Chief Financial and Operating Officer

## **Powers and Responsibilities**

### **1. Set: Research / Recommend**

#### **Financial Policy (Non-Investment)**

The BFC shall review and recommend Board-level financial policies, including budget principles, reserve or contingency policies (if applicable), and cost allocation methodologies. Financial policies shall support long-term stewardship, be internally consistent with governance and delegation policies, and not conflict with investment policy (IC) or audit controls (ARCC).

#### **Budget Recommendation**

The BFC shall review management's proposed annual operating budget and biennial or multi-year financial plans (as applicable), assess whether proposed budgets align with Board priorities and policies, support effective execution of the investment program, and are realistic given statutory appropriation constraints, and recommend the budget to the full Board for approval prior to submission to the Legislature as required.

### **2. Approve: Not authorized**

The BFC has authority to research and recommend. It does not approve expenditures, direct management spending decisions, manage staff or vendors, or negotiate contracts. It may request information, analyses, and scenario modeling from management and may recommend engagement of external advisors, subject to Board approval.

### **3. Oversee**

#### **A. Financial Performance & Monitoring**

The BFC shall oversee exception-based reporting of actual vs. budgeted expenditures, material variances and their drivers, and trends in cost structure over time. It shall monitor financial sustainability indicators, including staffing and compensation capacity, technology and systems funding, and vendor and external service costs, and escalate material budgetary risks or pressures to the full Board.

## **B. Resource Adequacy & Organizational Capacity**

The BFC shall evaluate whether financial resources are sufficient to support the complexity and scale of assets under management, internal investment management and oversight, and risk management, compliance, audit, and data capabilities. It shall consider benchmarking inputs (e.g., peer systems, AUM-per-FTE, budget per \$AUM) as context, not determinative metrics, and advise the Board on resource trade-offs that may affect investment performance, risk exposure, and organizational resilience.

## **C. Statutory & Appropriation Alignment**

The BFC shall oversee alignment of the budget with legislative appropriation authority, continuing appropriation provisions, and applicable fiscal statutes and policies; monitor constraints or risks arising from line-item appropriations, hiring or compensation limitations, and procurement or technology funding restrictions; and coordinate as appropriate with management, legal counsel, and legislative or oversight bodies (through the Board).

## **4. Verify & Report**

The BFC shall report regularly to the full Board on: budget recommendations; financial performance and material variances; resource adequacy risks; and policy recommendations related to financial stewardship.

**Committee Action Requested:** Information only.

## MEMORANDUM

**TO: BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE**  
**FROM: Adam Otteson, Chief Financial and Operating Officer**  
**DATE: August 13, 2026**  
**RE: Quarterly Budget Report**

The following is the budget and expense report for the quarter ending June 30, 2026.

### Budget Status June 2026

| Category               | Q4 FY26            | Q4 FY26            | Q4 FY26         | Q4 FY26          | Biennium           | Biennium           | Biennium         | Biennium                 |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
|                        | Budget             | Actual             | Variance        | Variance Percent | to Date Budget     | to Date Actual     | to Date Variance | to Date Variance Percent |
| Salaries **            | \$1,510,088        | \$1,458,551        | \$51,537        | 3.41%            | \$6,040,352        | \$5,571,040        | \$469,312        | 7.77%                    |
| New and Vacant Pool ** | 38,746             | 0                  | 38,746          | 100.00%          | 154,983            | 0                  | 154,983          | 100.00%                  |
| Operating Expenses     | 473,142            | 529,495            | -56,353         | -11.91%          | 1,892,567          | 1,621,007          | 271,560          | 14.35%                   |
| Contingency            | 25,000             | 0                  | 25,000          | 100.00%          | 100,000            | 0                  | 100,000          | 100.00%                  |
| <b>Total</b>           | <b>\$2,046,975</b> | <b>\$1,988,046</b> | <b>\$58,929</b> | <b>2.88%</b>     | <b>\$8,187,902</b> | <b>\$7,192,047</b> | <b>\$995,855</b> | <b>12.16%</b>            |

### Continuing Authority

| Expenditures | Q4 FY26*     | Q4 FY26*    | Q4 FY26*    | Q4 FY26*         | Fiscal Year    | Fiscal Year    | Fiscal Year       | Fiscal Year               |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|              | Q3 FY 26     | Q3 FY 25    | Variance    | Variance Percent | to Date* FY 26 | to Date* FY 25 | to Date* Variance | to Date* Variance Percent |
|              | \$12,723,820 | \$6,929,877 | \$5,793,943 | 45.54%           | \$111,843,972  | \$99,138,638   | \$12,705,333      | 11.36%                    |

\* Year-end fee reporting is pending receipt of all quarterly reports. Private market and limited partnership reports for Q4 have not been fully received. Fee figures included herein reflect fees paid directly through Q4 and fees netted from managed accounts through Q3. Final figures will be updated upon receipt of all outstanding reports.

\*\* \$155,850 was transferred from the New and Vacant FTE pool to the Salaries line to fund a newly hired FTE.

**Committee Action Requested:** Information only.

2027–29 Biennium

# Base Budget and Optional Packages

Base budget of \$16,523,473 plus seven optional packages totaling \$23,988,865

**Total budget request: \$40,512,338 • 16 new FTE**

North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office

# 2027–29 Fund Request Limit

\$16,523,473

Biennial Base Budget

\$16,547,594

25-27 Approved Budget

-\$24,121

Adjustments

\$16,523,473

2027–29 Fund Request Limit

## Base Budget Composition

|                       | Base budget  | Adjustments | Request limit |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Salaries and benefits | \$12,562,461 | \$225,879   | \$12,788,340  |
| Operating expenses    | \$3,985,133  | (\$250,000) | \$3,735,133   |
| Total                 | \$16,547,594 | (\$24,121)  | \$16,523,473  |

## No Base Budget Adjustments

RIO is not subject to recommended base budget adjustments.

All RIO expenses — investment fees and operating costs alike — are paid by the client funds themselves, not the State General Fund. There is no draw on the General Fund.

Adjustments are cost-to-continue salary increases of \$225,879, less removal of a \$250,000 one-time professional services item — a net reduction of \$24,121.

# Optional Packages at a Glance

*All figures are biennial totals for 2027–29.*

| Package | Description                                                  | New FTE   | Request             |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1       | Adequate Minimum Staffing                                    | 12        | \$5,527,840         |
| 2       | Large IT Project — Accounting, Data Repository and Reporting | 0         | \$15,500,000        |
| 3       | TFFR Staff and Pension System Enhancements                   | 2         | \$846,936           |
| 4       | Salary Cost to Continue and Equity                           | 0         | \$1,201,079         |
| 5       | IT Hosting, Support and Equipment                            | 0         | \$361,866           |
| 6       | Application Support Realignment (net of NDIT offset)         | 2         | \$1,144             |
| 7       | Professional Services                                        | 0         | \$550,000           |
|         | <b>Optional packages subtotal</b>                            | <b>16</b> | <b>\$23,988,865</b> |
|         | Base budget — 2027–29 fund request                           | —         | \$16,523,473        |
|         | <b>Total budget request</b>                                  | <b>16</b> | <b>\$40,512,338</b> |

# Adequate Minimum Staffing

\$5,527,840

Biennial Total

12

New FTE Requested

\$4,758,434

Salaries and Benefits

\$769,406

Operating Costs

## Positions Requested

| Function            | FTE | Detail                                                                       |
|---------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Investment          | 6   | Two senior investment roles at \$220,000, two at \$150,000, two at \$120,000 |
| Fiscal / Operations | 3   | Two unclassified operational roles at \$100,000; one accountant at \$87,756  |
| Chief Risk Officer  | 1   | Agency-wide risk function at \$240,000                                       |
| Legal Counsel       | 1   | In-house counsel at \$150,000                                                |
| Internal Audit      | 1   | Classified audit position at \$87,756                                        |
| Total               | 12  | Combined annual salary \$1,745,512; benefits \$633,705                       |

Operating costs include a building rent increase of \$139,770, a statewide cost allocation increase of \$130,991, and one-time facility costs of \$195,000 for conference room build-out and remodel.

## The Need

- AUM rose from \$23.6B (Dec 2024) to \$29B (June 2026); projected above \$30B by Dec 2026
- 2025 independent governance review: staffing two to three times below peer median
- No in-house legal counsel; no dedicated agency-wide risk function
- Internal audit has no capacity for the expanded investment program

## Impact if Not Funded

- Staff cannot keep pace with program growth, raising operational risk
- Board remains without dedicated visibility into agency-wide risk

# Large IT Project — Accounting, Data Repository and Reporting

\$15,500,000

Biennial Total

\$15,500,000

Accounting System, Data Repository, Analytics and Reporting

2

Active Procurement Evaluations

Sept 2029

Dynamics GP End of Support

The Need

- Dynamics GP loses support after Sept 2029; replacement takes at least a year
- No centralized data repository or purpose-built reporting tools
- Peer state investment programs treat both as standard

The Request

- Integrated stack: accounting system, data repository, and reporting tools
- NDIT project manager assigned; vendor professional services provide technical capacity
- No new FTE requested

Impact if Not Funded

- Accounting system runs unsupported after Sept 2029 — no security patches or updates
- Investment team continues relying on manual, fragmented data processes

# TFFR Staff and Pension System Enhancements

\$846,936

Biennial Total

2

New FTE: Employer Services and Compliance

\$360,000

Pension Administration System Enhancements

72%

Below Peer Staffing Average (CEM)

## The Need

- 2026 CEM study: 5.1 FTE per 10,000 members vs. peer average of 18.5
- Employers not audited in seven years; mis-reporting cleanup diverts member service staff from core duties
- Contact center scored 54 vs. peer median of 61
- Vendor support dropped from 8 FTE under warranty to 0.5 FTE after

## The Request

- 2 FTE: Employer Services Specialist and Compliance Officer
- \$360,000 for Sagitec support and system enhancements after the 2025 go-live

## Impact if Not Funded

- Reporting errors accumulate at the source; employer audits cannot resume
- Cleanup diverts staff from direct service to ~25,000 members, threatening benefit accuracy
- System remains without multi-factor authentication

# Salary Cost to Continue and Equity

\$1,201,079

Biennial Total

\$1,013,618

Salary Adjustments

\$187,461

Fringe Benefits

13

Promotions and Responsibility Increases

## The Need

- AUM growth to ~\$29B and direct investment expansion have raised role scope and skill
- Roughly 70% of staff have been in their roles less than two years
- A single investment departure removes about 7% of investment capacity

## The Request

- \$565,000 salary adjustments for promotions and responsibility increases (Package 7 salary study would validate market alignment; otherwise validated by other means)
- \$514,604 cost to continue July 2026 equity adjustments No new FTE and no operating or space costs

## Impact if Not Funded

- Duties-to-pay gap creates retention risk in specialized roles
- Turnover during technology transition would compound operational risk

# IT Hosting, Support and Equipment

**\$361,866**  
Biennial Total

**\$112,532**  
Net NDIT Rate Increase After Offset

**\$195,534**  
Pension System Contract Escalators

**\$53,800**  
20 Computers Due for Replacement

**The Need**

- NDIT rates up ~\$153,488, offset by \$40,956 in desktop support fee reductions
- TFFR pension system contract carries built-in escalators now that warranty has ended
- 20 computers at or near end of life

**The Request**

- Covers net NDIT increase, contract escalators, and 20 computer replacements
- Computer replacement cost exceeds the NDIT fee reduction by \$12,844
- No new FTE requested

**Impact if Not Funded**

- No appropriation authority to pay increases owed under existing contracts
- Potential service impacts, including timely payment of retiree pension benefits
- Deferred replacements extend aging equipment life and raise security exposure

# Application Support Realignment

\$1,144

Biennial Total

2

Application Support Positions Realigned

\$677,404

Personnel and Operating Costs

\$676,260

Offsetting NDIT Chargeback Reduction

The Need

- Two NDIT positions already dedicate most of their time to RIO's specialized applications
- IT support priorities are set outside the agency, competing with other state entities
- Work is not sequenced against RIO's close calendar and project timelines

The Request

- 2 FTE within RIO's structure, with corresponding appropriation adjustments
- Costs allocated 70% to TFFR, 30% to the investment program
- Existing leased space is sufficient; operating covers workstations and equipment

Impact if Not Funded

- Positions stay at NDIT, funded indirectly through chargebacks
- No direct supervisory accountability
- Institutional knowledge of specialized applications at risk if positions turn over or if NDIT reassigns staff

# Professional Services

**\$550,000**  
Biennial Total

**\$250,000**  
Governance Consulting

**\$150,000**  
Comprehensive Salary Study

**\$150,000**  
Audit Software and External QA Review

## The Need

- Internal audit lacks dedicated software and has never had an external QA review
- Last salary study was performed at a significantly lower asset level and when the investment program was less complex
- Incentive compensation plan requires market-based pay ranges refreshed every two years
- SIB's June 2026 governance policy system requires implementation support

## The Request

- \$250,000 governance consulting: board education, trustee onboarding, ED evaluation, exception-based reporting
- \$150,000 comprehensive salary study
- \$100,000 audit software implementation; \$50,000 external QA review

## Impact if Not Funded

- Internal audit continues without required tools or external validation
- Incentive compensation plan lacks the market data it requires for defined salary ranges
- SIB left without professional support to implement its adopted governance policy system